



Open Statement in Response to Open Weights Letter

28 JULY 2026, **Safe AI Foundation USA** -- We have answered and commented on this issue on LINKEDIN before. Keeping things open are great for the learning environment, for students and universities. It is also good for people who wanted to share and experiment things in the lab. Open source and open models give them that accessibility and knowledge..

Having said that, there are cons as well. As stated in that letter, risks are present when anyone can revise, change weights, change algorithms, etc., on each release, and unless a checker or verifier is in place, no one knows if that version contains harm or not.

APPLE App Store and GOOGLE Play Store, for example, check every app to be uploaded to the OS. There is some form of accountability and responsibility should things go wrong. Open weights are great for disclosure and sharing, but that comes with stricter requirements for safety of code, model, and someone or something has to check each revised and uploaded code. Also, the accountability and liability part have to be made clear to all open source users.

Innovation goes both ways, open or closed. What matters most is whether that innovation benefits society in a safe way or not.

We believe both can co-exist.

== www.safeaifoundation.com ==